



## 2016 PhytoGen Enlist Germplasm Performance

---

Large and small plot PhytoGen germplasm evaluation trials were initiated at 4 sites. Data were provided to the industry sponsor and all of these trials will assist in evaluating performance of their cotton genetics in Oklahoma.

Trial type	PhytoGen Innovation Trial			PhytoGen AST Nursery
County - location	Custer - Hydro	Jackson - Duke	Jackson - Altus	Caddo - Fort Cobb
Cooperator	Merlin Schantz	Drew Darby	OSU SWREC	OSU CRS
Tillage system	terminated cover/strip till	conventional	conventional	terminated wheat/no-till
Herbicide System	RRF and LL	RRF and LL	RRF	RRF
Planter width/plot width (rows)	16/8	8/4	4/4	4/2
Planting date	26-May	31-May	31-May	26-May
Seeding rate (seeds/acre)	50,000	45,000	45,000	58,080
Row spacing (inches)	36	40	40	36
Replicates	3	3	3	4
Harvested plot width (rows)	8	4	4	2
Comments	pivot irrigation	furrow irrigation	furrow irrigation	pivot irrigation
Harvester type	picker	stripper	stripper	stripper
Entries	PHY 490 W3FE PHY 220 W3FE PHY 300 W3FE PHY 222 WRF PHY 243 WRF PHY 312 WRF PHY 333 WRF ST 4946GLB2	PHY 490 W3FE PHY 220 W3FE PHY 300 W3FE PHY 222 WRF PHY 243 WRF PHY 312 WRF PHY 333 WRF ST 4946GLB2	PHY 490 W3FE PHY 220 W3FE PHY 300 W3FE PHY 222 WRF PHY 243 WRF PHY 312 WRF PHY 333 WRF ST 4946GLB2	29 total entries

Three irrigated Dow AgroSciences Innovation Trials in Custer and Jackson Counties (2 sites) were planted and harvested. Results for these projects are provided below beginning with Table 1. This provides a summary of yield across all sites where the same 8 PhytoGen variety entries were located. This table includes data from the Altus irrigated and Fort Cobb irrigated OVT projects, as well as the three large-plot PhytoGen Innovation Trials. Tables 2, 3, and 4 provide the individual site results for the Custer, Jackson (Duke) and Jackson (SWREC) Innovation Trials. In addition, a small-plot Dow AgroSciences AST (Enlist) nursery project with 29 entries replicated four times was planted under center pivot irrigation at the OSU Caddo Research Station in Caddo County. Table 5 includes performance data from 13 named entries in the AST Nursery.



Table 1. Lint yield results from PhytoGen entries and competitor entry across multiple OSU testing sites in 2016.

County ==>	Custer	Jackson	Jackson	Jackson	Caddo	Multi-Site Mean
Irrigation Type ==>	Pivot	Furrow	Furrow	Furrow	Pivot	
Trial Type ==>	Innovation	Innovation	Innovation	OVT	OVT	
Location ==>	Hydro	Duke	SWREC	SWREC	CRS	
Cooperator ==>	Schantz	Darby	OSU	OSU	OSU	
Planting Date ==>	26-May	31-May	31-May	27-May	26-May	
Harvest Date ==>	12-Nov	16-Nov	21-Nov	21-Nov	30-Nov	
Bacterial Blight Infection Level ==>	Light	Light	Severe	Severe	Light	
Entry	----- Lint yield (lb/acre) -----					
<b>PhytoGen PHY 300W3FE (BB-R**)</b>	<b>1808</b>	<b>1594</b>	<b>2070</b>	<b>2246</b>	<b>1424</b>	<b>1829</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 490W3FE (BB-R**)</b>	<b>1814</b>	<b>1496</b>	<b>1959</b>	<b>2127</b>	<b>1399</b>	<b>1759</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 312WRF (BB-MS*)</b>	<b>1747</b>	<b>1484</b>	<b>1941</b>	<b>2161</b>	<b>1425</b>	<b>1752</b>
<b>Stoneville ST 4946GLB2 (BB-S*)</b>	<b>1830</b>	<b>1450</b>	<b>1777</b>	<b>2036</b>	<b>1391</b>	<b>1697</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 220 W3FE (BB-R**)</b>	<b>1750</b>	<b>1494</b>	<b>1838</b>	<b>1925</b>	<b>1184</b>	<b>1638</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 243WRF (BB-PR*)</b>	<b>1547</b>	<b>1428</b>	<b>1773</b>	<b>2027</b>	<b>1312</b>	<b>1617</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 333WRF (BB-S*)</b>	<b>1420</b>	<b>1218</b>	<b>1819</b>	<b>1937</b>	<b>1352</b>	<b>1549</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 222WRF (BB-S*)</b>	<b>1597</b>	<b>1299</b>	<b>1753</b>	<b>1752</b>	<b>1340</b>	<b>1548</b>
<b>Test average</b>	<b>1689</b>	<b>1433</b>	<b>1866</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>1353</b>	<b>1674</b>
<b>CV, %</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>11.9</b>	
<b>OSL</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	<b>0.0009</b>	<b>0.0210</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	<b>0.4704</b>	
<b>LSD</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>NS</b>	

\* Texas A&M AgriLife Research Bacterial blight ratings are courtesy of Dr. Terry Wheeler's "Response of cotton varieties to Bacterial blight Race 18 in 2016."

Ratings are classified as: S = highly susceptible; MS = mostly susceptible; PS = partially susceptible; PR = partially resistant; MR = mostly resistant; R = highly resistant.

\*\*PhytoGen personnel indicate that all Enlist varieties (W3FE) are considered resistant to Bacterial blight Race 18.

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, NS - not significant.

**Table 2. Results from the Custer County irrigated PhytoGen Innovation trial, Merlin Schantz Farm, Hydro, OK, 2016.**

Entry	Lint yield	Lint loan value*	Lint value	Final plant stand	Visual storm resistance rating	Micronaire	Staple	Strength	Uniformity
	lb/acre	\$/lb	\$/acre	plants/acre	1-9, 9 best	units	32nds inch	g/tex	%
<b>Stoneville ST 4946GLB2</b>	<b>1830</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5798</b>	<b>1061</b>	<b>46,948</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>38.9</b>	<b>31.9</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 490W3FE</b>	<b>1814</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5803</b>	<b>1053</b>	<b>45,496</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>38.7</b>	<b>31.9</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 300W3FE</b>	<b>1808</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5777</b>	<b>1044</b>	<b>50,336</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>38.1</b>	<b>30.6</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 220W3FE</b>	<b>1750</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5755</b>	<b>1007</b>	<b>50,820</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>29.4</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 312WRF</b>	<b>1747</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5715</b>	<b>998</b>	<b>44,528</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>30.4</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 222WRF</b>	<b>1597</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>0.5765</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>47,432</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>38.6</b>	<b>29.2</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 243WRF</b>	<b>1547</b>	<b>bc</b>	<b>0.5742</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>49,852</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>40.2</b>	<b>28.8</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 333WRF</b>	<b>1420</b>	<b>c</b>	<b>0.5765</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>51,304</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>39.5</b>	<b>29.2</b>
<b>Test average</b>	<b>1689</b>		<b>0.5765</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>48,340</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>38.9</b>	<b>30.2</b>
<b>CV, %</b>	<b>4.4</b>		<b>0.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>12.9</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>OSL</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>		<b>0.2059</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	<b>0.3670</b>	<b>0.0016</b>	<b>0.0004</b>	<b>0.0003</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
<b>LSD</b>	<b>130</b>		<b>NS</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.8</b>

For lint yield, lb/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different.

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, † indicates significance at the 0.10 level, NS - not significant.

\* Assumes color grades set to 21, leaf grades set to 2 for entire trial.

**Table 3. Results from the Jackson County irrigated PhytoGen Innovation trial, Drew Darby Farm, Duke, OK, 2016.**

Entry	Lint yield	Lint loan value*	Lint value	Final plant stand	Visual storm resistance rating	Micronaire	Staple	Strength	Uniformity
	lb/acre	\$/lb	\$/acre	plants/acre	1-9, 9 best	units	32nds inch	g/tex	%
<b>PhytoGen PHY 300W3FE</b>	<b>1594</b>	<b>a</b>	<b>0.5730</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>43,560</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>36.6</b>	<b>29.9</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 490W3FE</b>	<b>1496</b>	<b>ab</b>	<b>0.5768</b>	<b>863</b>	<b>43,560</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>37.2</b>	<b>31.7</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 220W3FE</b>	<b>1494</b>	<b>ab</b>	<b>0.5737</b>	<b>857</b>	<b>40,946</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>36.9</b>	<b>29.6</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 312WRF</b>	<b>1484</b>	<b>ab</b>	<b>0.5768</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>43,560</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>37.3</b>	<b>30.5</b>
<b>Stoneville ST 4946GLB2</b>	<b>1450</b>	<b>b</b>	<b>0.5757</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>40,946</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>30.7</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 243WRF</b>	<b>1428</b>	<b>bc</b>	<b>0.5728</b>	<b>818</b>	<b>41,818</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>28.9</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 222WRF</b>	<b>1299</b>	<b>cd</b>	<b>0.5747</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>40,946</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>30.3</b>
<b>PhytoGen PHY 333WRF</b>	<b>1218</b>	<b>d</b>	<b>0.5750</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>47,045</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>37.2</b>	<b>29.2</b>
Test average	1433		0.5748	824	42,798	4.5	4.3	37.2	30.1
CV, %	5.4		0.4	5.2	11.8	16.2	1.8	1.0	3.6
OSL	0.0009		0.4021	0.0009	0.8027	0.0199	<0.0001	0.0016	0.1155
LSD	135		NS	77	NS	1.3	0.1	0.7	NS

For lint yield, lb/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different.

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, † indicates significance at the 0.10 level, NS - not significant.

\* Assumes color grades set to 21, leaf grades set to 2 for entire trial.

**Table 4.** Results from the Jackson County irrigated PhytoGen Innovation trial, Southwest Research and Extension Center, Altus, OK, 2016.

Entry	Lint yield	Lint loan value*	Lint value	Final plant stand	Visual storm resistance rating	Micronaire	Staple	Strength	Uniformity	
	lb/acre	\$/lb	\$/acre	plants/acre	1-9, 9 best	units	32nds inch	g/tex	%	
PhytoGen PHY 300W3FE	2070	a	0.5765	1194	46,609	6.0	4.4	38.1	30.5	82.3
PhytoGen PHY 490W3FE	1959	ab	0.5792	1135	48,787	5.0	4.3	38.4	32.8	83.1
PhytoGen PHY 312WRF	1941	abc	0.5787	1123	33,977	3.3	4.4	39.3	31.4	83.0
PhytoGen PHY 220W3FE	1838	bcd	0.5682	1043	36,591	7.0	4.8	37.5	30.0	83.0
PhytoGen PHY 333WRF	1819	bcd	0.5768	1049	40,511	4.3	3.9	38.4	29.7	82.5
Stoneville ST 4946GLB2	1777	cd	0.5795	1030	34,412	6.0	4.3	38.7	32.2	83.4
PhytoGen PHY 243WRF	1773	cd	0.5750	1019	43,125	6.7	3.7	39.7	29.4	81.3
PhytoGen PHY 222WRF	1753	d	0.5785	1014	33,977	4.7	4.7	38.0	31.2	83.4
Test average	1866		0.5765	1076	39,749	5.4	4.3	38.5	30.9	82.7
CV, %	5.5		0.9	5.3	10.7	6.9	4.0	0.8	1.8	0.7
OSL	0.0210		0.2204	0.0137	0.0026	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0118
LSD	181		NS	101	7464	0.6	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0

For lint yield, lb/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different.

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, † indicates significance at the 0.10 level, NS - not significant.

\* Assumes color grades set to 21, leaf grades set to 2 for entire trial.

Table 5. Results from the PhytoGen AST Nursery, Caddo Research Station, Fort Cobb, OK, 2016.

Entry	Lint yield	Lint loan value*	Lint value	Micronaire	Length	Staple	Strength	Uniformity	Elongation	Reflectance	Yellowness
	lb/acre	\$/lb	\$/acre	units	100ths inch	32nds inch	g/tex	%	%	rd %	+b %
PhytoGen PHY 450W3FE	1611	a	0.5649	910	4.9	1.13	36.3	34.5	83.2	8.8	78.4
PhytoGen PHY 444WRF	1601	a	0.5788	926	3.9	1.25	40.1	31.9	82.5	6.8	79.3
PhytoGen PHY 470W3FE	1565	ab	0.5711	895	4.8	1.13	36.3	33.9	84.0	8.8	78.7
PhytoGen PHY 490W3FE	1545	ab	0.5763	890	4.6	1.14	36.6	32.5	82.2	8.2	78.5
PhytoGen PHY 300W3FE	1510	ab	0.5768	871	4.4	1.16	37.0	30.9	82.0	7.2	78.3
PhytoGen PHY 499WRF	1484	abc	0.5741	852	4.6	1.14	36.3	31.8	82.5	8.5	77.6
PhytoGen PHY 340W3FE	1479	abc	0.5771	853	4.6	1.15	36.9	31.7	82.9	7.3	77.4
PhytoGen PHY 333WRF	1467	abc	0.5770	846	4.3	1.17	37.5	30.8	82.1	7.0	77.3
PhytoGen PHY 460W3FE	1412	bc	0.5760	813	4.5	1.17	37.3	31.8	82.0	7.8	78.1
Stoneville ST 4946GLB2	1402	bc	0.5801	814	4.4	1.19	38.1	32.8	83.1	7.5	78.9
PhytoGen PHY 243WRF	1331	cd	0.5756	767	4.2	1.18	37.7	30.2	80.9	7.7	78.1
PhytoGen PHY 330W3FE	1329	cd	0.5716	760	4.6	1.17	37.4	31.5	82.8	7.4	78.2
PhytoGen PHY 220W3FE	1176	d	0.5591	659	5.0	1.14	36.6	31.2	82.7	7.9	78.1
Test average	1455		0.5737	835	4.5	1.16	37.2	32.0	82.5	7.8	78.2
CV, %	9.4		1.3	9.4	5.4	1.9	1.9	3.5	1.0	7.3	1.1
OSL	0.0030		0.0149	0.0018	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0016	<0.0001	0.0707	0.0923
LSD	197		0.0106	113	0.3	0.03	1.0	1.6	1.1	0.8	1.0†

For lint yield, lb/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different.

CV - coefficient of variation.

OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value.

LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, † indicates significance at the 0.10 level, NS - not significant.

\* Assumes color grades set to 21, leaf grades set to 2 for entire trial.