



PSS-2608

Cool Season Forage and Hay Quality Result Summary (2019-2024)

September 2024

Knowing the quality of your forage and hay is essential for properly managing your livestock. It is also a valuable tool for marketing your hay for sale or identifying areas of improvement needed in hay crop management. The proteins ADF (acid detergent fiber), and NDF (neutral detergent fibers) are all affected by species, environment, nutrient management, cutting timing, and baling practices. Low protein values would suggest that nitrogen (N) availability could be limited or that the crop was harvested at a mature stage. The ADF and NDF values can help determine the reason for low protein. As described in PSS-2117, ADF and NDF values increase as the crop matures. Therefore, if your samples have low protein (ADF and NDF results) collecting a soil sample to plan your fertilizer strategy would be recommended. The guide E-1021 should be utilized in making fertilizer recommendations. However, if the protein is low and both ADF and NDF are high, there is a reasonable probability that the forage was harvested at a very mature stage. The Oklahoma State University Soil, Water, Forage Analytical Laboratory (SWFAL) processes thousands of forage samples annually. Armed with the knowledge of the results of samples sent to SWFAL, producers can make more informed decisions on management and marketing.

The graphs below represent the range of results for samples submitted under the SWFAL crop codes 1 (Wheat), 9 (Fescue and Cool Season Grasses), and 11 (Rye Grass). The bar is a heat graph, where red indicates poorer quality and green signifies higher quality. The values shared are the minimum and maximum (the lowest and highest values recorded during the time frame), the average of all the samples, and the percentiles of 25%, 75%, and 90%. These values can help understand the distribution of the results. For example, results above the 90th percentile are better than 90% of submitted samples.

For results, such as fescue/cool season NDF, there is not enough data to analyze so only the minimum, maximum and average are presented.

Key Takeaways

If your results are in the range below 25%

- Your forage/hay quality has great potential to be improved.
- Look at soil fertility, weed control, harvest timing, post-harvest management.

If your results are near the average 50%

- Your forage/hay quality is near the state average but has potential to be improved.
- Look at soil fertility, weed control, harvest timing, post-harvest management.

If your results are in the range above 90%

- Your forage/hay quality has limited potential to be improved.
- Look at the opportunity to market hay as having exceptional quality with potential for added value.




Figure 1. Distribution of the quality results of forage samples submitted to SWFAL under the crop code 1 (Wheat) from January 2019–June 2024.




Figure 2. Distribution of the quality results of forage samples submitted to SWFAL under the crop code 9 (Fescue and Cool Season Grasses) from January 2019–June 2024.




Figure 3. Distribution of the quality results of forage samples submitted to SWFAL under the crop code 11 (Rye Grass) from January 2019–June 2024.

Table 1. Full data of the distribution analysis of the quality results of forage samples submitted to SWFAL under the crop codes 1 (Wheat), 9 (Fescue and Cool Season Grasses) and 11 (Rye Grass) from January 2019 to June 2024. n = the number of observations. The % (5, 10, 25, 75, 90 and 95) is the value at which x % of samples fall below. For example, 95% of the rye grass protein results were below 9.7%.

Crop Code	1- Wheat			9-Fescue / Cool Season			11 - Rye Grass		
Analysis	Protein%	ADF	NDF	Protein%	ADF	NDF	Protein%	ADF	NDF
<i>n</i>	2154	1358	137	198	162	6	358	317	34
Average	11.3	35.6	58.0	10.9	38.3	58.9	9.7	39.4	61.1
Minimum	1.1	15.5	38.7	4.8	26.3	51.0	3.4	21.2	35.9
Maximum	32.2	56.6	71.6	27.0	53.7	66.7	17.4	59.4	72.6
95	21.2	46.5	70.0	18.7	44.4		14.6	49.2	72.0
90	18.8	44.4	68.6	16.2	43.0		13.5	46.5	69.8
75	13.9	40.4	64.1	12.4	40.9		11.5	42.6	66.9
25	7.9	32.0	51.9	8.4	35.9		7.8	35.8	55.0
10	6.1	25.5	44.9	6.9	34.7		6.3	32.8	53.3
5	5.1	22.4	43.1	6.4	32.0		5.6	31.4	44.8

Related Fact Sheets

- PSS-2117 Forage Quality Interpretations
- PSS-2589 Collecting Forage Samples for Analysis
- E-1021 Oklahoma Forage and Pasture Fertility Guide
- PSS-2588 Evaluating Hay Quality Based on Sight, Smell and Feel – Hay Judging



Peer Reviewed

Brian Arnall

Professor and Extension Specialist for Precision Nutrient Management



Scan the code or visit extension.okstate.edu/fact-sheets for more OSU Extension fact sheets.

EDUCATION EVERYWHERE FOR EVERYONE
Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources

Oklahoma State University, as an equal opportunity employer, complies with all applicable federal and state laws regarding non-discrimination and affirmative action. Oklahoma State University is committed to a policy of equal opportunity for all individuals and does not discriminate based on race, religion, age, sex, color, national origin, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity/expression, disability or veteran status with regard to employment, educational programs and activities, and/or admissions. For more information, visit <https://eeo.okstate.edu>.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director of Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President for Agricultural Programs and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 20 cents per copy. September 2024 AM.