The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted nearly all aspects of everyday life in the U.S., including how we shop for goods and services (Sheth, 2020). Panic buying took place in the early months as full or partial lockdowns were enacted, with increased purchases of health/personal care goods and food (J.P. Morgan, 2020). Later, online purchasing increased and some consumers showed a hesitancy to return to in-person shopping (McKinsey, 2021). These trends have important implications for Oklahoma communities, which depend heavily on retail sales taxes as a source of revenue.

As the pandemic unfolded, communities across Oklahoma responded in different ways. Governor Kevin Stitt closed non-essential businesses on March 24, 2020; this included many (but not all) retail stores. The state’s re-opening plan was initiated on April 24, 2020, with dining facilities allowed to partially re-open but bars remaining closed. The 2nd phase began on May 15, 2020 (with standing-room occupancy for bars) and the final 3rd phase started on June 1, 2020 (OK Commerce, 2020). Oklahoma was one of several states that did not enact a state-wide mask mandate at any point during the pandemic. However, some communities passed such mandates on their own, and some city mayors were more restrictive in when they allowed business to re-open.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of communities analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Mask Mandate</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>% over 65</th>
<th>% Hispanic or Latino</th>
<th>%Native American</th>
<th>% Black</th>
<th>% Poverty</th>
<th>Median Household Income ($)</th>
<th>City Tax Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawton</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>94,017</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>47,779</td>
<td>4.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken Arrow</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>108,496</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>74,290</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest City</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>57,288</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>49,914</td>
<td>4.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlesville</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>36,412</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>54,778</td>
<td>3.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapulpa</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21,041</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>51,655</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>13,289</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>36,908</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tahlequah</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>16,819</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>37,177</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickasha</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>16,337</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>42,175</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altus</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18,338</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>47,691</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guymon</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10,996</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>53,164</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Village</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9,452</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>58,947</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collinsville</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6,882</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>65,299</td>
<td>4.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2015-2019 American Community Survey; Oklahoma Tax Commission
ranging from furniture stores to restaurants; appliances to gasoline stations; and health care to general merchandising. Because each city can charge a different amount in local sales tax rate, the taxes collected by the OTC were divided by that rate to derive the total retail dollars spent in a city during that month. Finally, this total was divided by the city population to arrive at a “retail expenditures per capita” measure that is comparable across communities of different size. It is worth noting this data includes not only dollars spent at physical stores, but also online sales from stores with a presence in the state. Online retail sales did increase dramatically in the second quarter of 2020 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). However, even at its peak, e-commerce sales only comprised 16% of total retail sales, meaning most purchases continued to take place in brick-and-mortar locations. The cities with mask mandates had them in place until April 2021.

Figure 1 displays the average retail expenditures per capita across the two groups (six mask mandate cities vs. six non-mask mandate cities) along with the Oklahoma state average, during the period July 2019 to March 2021. Several patterns are clear:

1. Cities with mask mandates had higher expenditures per capita both before and after the pandemic.
2. Retail expenditures did trend up in the immediate aftermath of the pandemic, consistent with other evidence (J.P. Morgan, 2020).
3. The towns included are above the state average in terms of retail sales expenditures per capita. This is expected since most of these cities are the largest in their counties and the state average represents per capita sales across communities of all sizes, including more than 400 with populations less than 2,500 (and thus smaller retail sectors).

To examine whether the mask mandates had an impact on retail expenditures, sales after the mandates went into effect were considered relative to sales in the same community one year prior. This way, each community’s post-pandemic sales are compared with their experience prior to the pandemic. Figure 2 demonstrates the relative increase in mask mandate vs. non-mask mandate communities, with the analysis beginning in July 2020 (since most mandates began at that time).

The results in Figure 2 show that generally, retail expenditures have been higher in the COVID-19 era: for the state as a whole, expenditures per capita ranged between 3% and 19% higher when compared to the same month one year earlier. This pattern ends in March 2021, which is consistent with the large increase in retail expenditures at the beginning of the pandemic (March 2020) observed in Figure 1. Retail sales performance in mask mandate communities has slightly outpaced that in non-mask mandate cities. During the period July 2020 to Mar 2021, the six cities with mask mandates averaged an 11.6% increase in retail expenditures per capita from a year prior; this compares to 9.3% growth in the six comparison communities without mask mandates and 7.4% growth for the state as a whole. In each month of the analysis, the mask-mandate cities demonstrated stronger growth compared to baseline than did cities without mask mandates.

These results offer some evidence that mask mandates did seem to have a positive influence on retail sales expenditures in Oklahoma communities. However, the analysis here does not necessarily represent a causal relationship: there are other characteristics in each community that could affect retail sales activity (age/income of residents, commuting trends, strength of retail sector, behaviors of front-line clerks and customers), and the potential impacts of these characteristics are not considered here. A more in-depth analysis would require regression analysis or alternative statistical technique (such as coarsened exact matching) to isolate the effect of the mask mandate. Recent studies have used these tools to demonstrate other factors affecting retail sales in Oklahoma such as oil and natural gas activity and awarding of rural

Figure 1. Retail Sales Expenditures Per Capita in Mask Mandate vs. Non-Mask Mandate Communities in Oklahoma. Source: Oklahoma Tax Commission.
development business loans (Whitacre et al., 2020; Smith and Whitacre 2021). Other limitations are that local political views or the enforcement/acceptance of mandates were not accounted for. A case-by-case analysis of comparably sized communities (Appendix) shows a wide degree of variation in retail sales performance: in only one instance (Tahlequah vs. Chickasha) does a clear divergence emerge. Thus, while the aggregate evidence does support the idea that mask mandates matter, the true impact may be hidden by important local circumstances. Finally, data on the percentage of sales that were online in each city is not known. This is likely responsible for at least part of the increase seen in the immediate aftermath of the pandemic’s onset. Online purchases may have differed dramatically across our communities – and could have been affected by mask mandates in those locations.

Each of our six mask mandate cities allowed them to expire as of May 2021. Nonetheless, it is important to learn from the natural experiments that arose in response to the pandemic. The analysis here shows the six cities with mask mandates that were examined slightly outperformed comparable non-mask cities in terms of local retail expenditures per capita; however, both groups generally performed well (i.e. had larger retail expenditures compared to a year prior) as shoppers adjusted to dealing with COVID-19. It is likely future retail sales will not return to their exact pre-pandemic trends, and local officials should be aware of the shifts that have taken place.

Additional Resources

Appendix. Case-by-case analysis of retail sales per capita (by comparable population cities).

**Pop: 90,000 – 110,000**

- **Lawton**
- **Broken Arrow**

**Pop: 30,000 – 60,000**

- **Midwest City**
- **Bartlesville**

**Pop: 12,000 – 20,000**

- **Sapulpa**
- **Miami**
WE ARE OKLAHOMA

The Cooperative Extension Service is the largest, most successful informal educational organization in the world. It is a nationwide system funded and guided by a partnership of federal, state, and local governments that delivers information to help people help themselves through the land-grant university system.

Extension carries out programs in the broad categories of agriculture, natural resources and environment; family and consumer sciences; 4-H and other youth; and community resource development. Extension staff members live and work among the people they serve to help stimulate and educate Americans to plan ahead and cope with their problems.

Some characteristics of the Cooperative Extension system are:

- The federal, state, and local governments cooperatively share in its financial support and program direction.
- It is administered by the land-grant university as designated by the state legislature through an Extension director.
- Extension programs are nonpolitical, objective, and research-based information.
- It provides practical, problem-oriented education for people of all ages. It is designated to take the knowledge of the university to those persons who do not or cannot participate in the formal classroom instruction of the university.
- It utilizes research from university, government, and other sources to help people make their own decisions.
- More than a million volunteers help multiply the impact of the Extension professional staff.
- It dispenses no funds to the public.
- It is not a regulatory agency, but it does inform people of regulations and of their options in meeting them.
- Local programs are developed and carried out in full recognition of national problems and goals.
- The Extension staff educates people through personal contacts, meetings, demonstrations, and the mass media.
- Extension has the built-in flexibility to adjust its programs and subject matter to meet new needs. Activities shift from year to year as citizen groups and Extension workers close to the problems advise changes.

The Cooperative Extension Service is the largest, most successful informal educational organization in the world. It is a nationwide system funded and guided by a partnership of federal, state, and local governments that delivers information to help people help themselves through the land-grant university system.